

1 _____X
2 MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
3 WATER AUTHORITY OF GREAT NECK NORTH
4 HELD ON AUGUST 17, 2020
5 AT 50 WATERMILL LANE, GREAT NECK, NEW YORK¹

6 _____X
7 50 Water Mill Lane
8 Great Neck, New York
9 Held Telephonically
August 17, 2020
6:00 P.M.

10 **TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS**

11
12 **A P P E A R A N C E S:**

13 Michael C. Kalnick, Chairperson, Village of Kings Point
14 Carol Frank, Director, Town of North Hempstead
15 Jay Johnneas, Director, Village of Great Neck
16 Dan Levy, Director, Village of Saddle Rock
17 Susan Lopatkin, Director, Village of Kensington
18 Michael Smiley, Director, Village of Great Neck Estates

19
20
21 _____
22 ¹ The regular monthly meeting of the Board of Directors of the Water Authority of Great Neck
23 North was held on August 17, 2020, at 6:00 P.M. in accordance with Governor Cuomo's March 13,
2020 Executive Order No. 202.1, extended by Executive Order No. 202.55, which permitted
public meetings to be held remotely by conference call. The agenda for the meeting was
posted on the Authority's website. In accordance with said Order, the public was given
the ability to listen to such proceeding at the Authority's office and this transcript was
made of the public portion of the meeting.

1 ALSO PRESENT:

2

3 From the **Water Authority of Great Neck North:**

4 Ralph J. Kreitzman, Vice-Chairperson

5 Robert Graziano, Deputy Chairperson

6 Gregory C. Graziano, Superintendent

7 Michael Rispoli, Assistant Superintendent

8 Adam Solow, Supervisor of Water Plant Operations

9 Debra Ray, Secretary

10 Sue Huang, Civil Engineer

11 Stephen, Limmer, Counsel, McLaughlin & Stern, LLP

12 Keith Kelly, Senior Vice President/Partner, CDM Smith,
13 Consulting Engineers

14

15 NOT PRESENT:

16 Steven Weinberg, Director, Village of Thomaston

17 Jean Celender, Director, Village of Great Neck Plaza

18 Judith Flynn, Treasurer

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Whereupon attendance was taken of all
2 members present.)

3 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to approve the
4 draft minutes of July 20th.

5 Motion to approve? [Res. #20-08-01]

6 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Motion to approve.

7 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?

8 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Second.

9 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?

10 (Whereupon all members responded in the
11 affirmative.)

12 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions,
13 negatives?

14 No.

15 (Whereupon a discussion was held off the
16 record.)

17 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Approval of the
18 Abstract of Claims. [Res. #20-08-02]

19 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: So moved.

20 DIRECTOR FRANK: Seconded.

21 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?

22 (Whereupon all members responded in the
23 affirmative.)

24 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any opposed?

25 (No response.)

1 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Approval of the
2 Treasurer's Monthly Report. [Res. #20-08-03]

3 Anything unusual, Greg?

4 MR. G. GRAZIANO: No, nothing unusual.

5 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to approve?

6 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: So moved.

7 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?

8 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Seconded.

9 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?

10 (Whereupon all members responded in the
11 affirmative.)

12 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any opposed?

13 (No response.)

14 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Engineering Status
15 Report.

16 MR. KELLY: Do you want me to read through it?

17 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: I don't think it's
18 necessary.

19 Do we have any questions regarding it?

20 (No response.)

21 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I did have one question
22 which is when we actually start the Middle Neck
23 Road project, is there going to be some kind of
24 communication to the community to let everyone
25 know when they dig into the street so people

1 are not surprised? I think you need to communicate
2 that.

3 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Susan, I'll answer.

4 CDM SMITH is working on it right now, setting up a
5 pre-construction meeting with the contractor.
6 Once that has taken place and we set the ground
7 rules of what's going to be required and what's
8 anticipated throughout the job, then another
9 pre-construction meeting will be held either by
10 call or some other means with all the villages
11 who will be impacted by the job.

12 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Okay.

13 MR. G. GRAZIANO: We will include the town and the
14 county so we get everyone.

15 In between those two meetings we are going to
16 want the contractor to come up with a
17 maintenance and protection of traffic plan to be
18 submitted so it can be reviewed.

19 Similar to what we did with Grace
20 Avenue, Susan.

21 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I just wanted to be sure.
22 I assumed you did, but I just wanted to be sure.
23 That's fine.

24 DIRECTOR FRANK: I also wanted to ask about
25 the matter of the catwalk and the raven's nest.

1 Has this been settled?

2 MR. KELLY: Verizon finally got back to me on
3 Friday. They are verifying the raven is gone.
4 It's 100% in their court because they are the
5 next ones left to go up so I am waiting for
6 their expert to confirm that.

7 But personally, I have not seen the ravens
8 but we have to get proper verification there is
9 a raven.

10 DIRECTOR FRANK: But if there is a raven
11 guarding a nest, you would certainly see it.

12 MR. KELLY: But that's what happened, they
13 got attacked.

14 DIRECTOR FRANK: That's for sure.

15 MR. KELLY: That's why it was shut down
16 again.

17 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Greg, you might want to
18 report on our conversations with the county and
19 the fact that they got back to us on the 25th
20 again. We are talking about Middle Neck Road.

21 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Sure. Go ahead, Ralph.

22 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: We have had two
23 conversations with the county and we are having
24 another one on the 25th. We are pushing them to
25 give us certainty. They have, for what it is

1 worth, absolutely committed to repave Middle
2 Neck Road next year even if they don't conclude
3 all of their traffic comment studies, they are
4 going to go forward with it. We don't have a
5 schedule for the timing yet but they have agreed
6 to the fullest extent to follow us and that's the
7 lowdown.

8 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Ralph, yes. And Ralph has
9 helped put these calls together so thank you.
10 And the county has actually been very
11 accommodating with our schedule and they realize
12 that this is a very large and
13 drawn-out project so they are willing to work
14 with us on scheduling and timing and so forth .
15 So hopefully at the end everyone will be happy.
16 Not happy while it's going on but
17 putting the water main in and then putting a
18 new road in behind it, so it should make everything
19 look nice in the end.

20 DIRECTOR FRANK: I was just going to say that
21 this leads into the next one on the agenda , I
22 was thinking that since the water main project
23 is going to be such a big deal, I am wondering
24 if perhaps it shouldn't be on the first page of
25 the newsletter because some people may not go

1 all the way through and really look at it.

2 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Okay.

3 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I kind of like that,
4 actually. I agree. I think people look at the
5 cover and that's the end of it.

6 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: How about we move
7 backflow to page four and we move water main
8 projects to page one. Does that work?

9 DIRECTOR FRANK: I was thinking of that.

10 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Okay. Done.

11 MR. R. GRAZIANO: Just a comment on the water
12 main project.

13 Greg, maybe the villages that are impacted
14 along that route can look at their construction
15 that's going to take place in the future and
16 advise the customers that now is the time to put
17 in the service, even though it's not going to be
18 used, so the road doesn't have to be opened
19 again after it's paved. We don't know what
20 construction is going to go on but the villages
21 do.

22 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I agree. I was talking to
23 Mayor Bral over the weekend and he has a good
24 handle on what's going on in the village. I
25 think we should meet and let the mayor know so

1 he can inform all the residents along Middle
2 Neck Road.

3 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Right. So Jay, you mean
4 meet when we have the pre-construction meeting?

5 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes.

6 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: I wouldn't wait. I
7 would meet with the developers now. They can
8 start now.

9 Greg, when is your pre-construction meeting?

10 MR. G. GRAZIANO: We are still trying to get
11 contracts signed and CDM is working with the
12 contractor to see if they will meet before
13 contracts are signed or if they want to wait
14 until the contracts are officially signed. Only
15 because of the maintenance, protection and
16 traffic, maybe the mayors of the villages should
17 get involved with the shutdown and the
18 coordination of the contractors.

19 Well the goal is to do it
20 with the contractor first and set up what the
21 expectations are going to be and then bring that
22 to the second meeting, and if there are any
23 comments, adjust it from there.

24 Do you think differently, Jay?

25 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Like Ralph was saying,

1 maybe get the mayors involved with coordinating
2 the work that's going to happen and
3 approximately when.

4 (Whereupon a discussion was held off the
5 record.)

6 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Just to be clear, the first
7 meeting was just going to be with the engineer
8 and Water Authority staff just to go over expectations
9 for the job. I
10 was trying not to muddy the water with a lot of
11 people in the room and make the contractor feel
12 like he was being teamed up on.

13 But definitely the
14 villages would be involved with the Maintenance
15 and Protection of Traffic Plan so we can get
16 their input on that.

17 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes. No doubt that this
18 first meeting, he is not going to come with a
19 Maintenance and Protection of Traffic Plan and a
20 schedule, but you can maybe emphasize to
21 him whatever concerns you have.

22 But meeting with the mayor ahead of time is
23 not a bad idea so everybody can get up to speed.
24 I can go with him if he wants.

25 MR. G. GRAZIANO: A separate meeting outside

1 of the contractor?

2 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes. Just to see what
3 the villages' concerns are and they can be implemented
4 into the schedule if need be.

5 MR. G. GRAZIANO: All three villages, right?
6 Great Neck Plaza, Kensington, Great Neck Estates and Great
7 Neck—actually four.

8 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Yes, four.

9 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Also you have the
10 Unincorporated area.

11 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Yes.

12 MR. G. GRAZIANO: All right. So why don't I
13 just set up a conference call. I'll get some
14 dates from everyone, all Directors and whoever
15 wants to join in on those dates can join in on
16 those dates.

17 How does that sound?

18 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: And with that conference
19 call, send out a site plan map so everybody can
20 look at it.

21 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Keith, if Jay is looking for a
22 site plan map I guess we will have to do
23 it in multiple PDFs, right, because it's such a
24 long project?

25 MR. KELLY: Well there is an overall

1 one. We can see if we can blow it up.

2 MR. G. GRAZIANO: We can do that.

3 All right. So we will set up a
4 pre-construction conference call probably before
5 the contractor, then at least get what the
6 villages' concerns could be then at
7 least express that to the contractor when we
8 first meet with him.

9 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes, that could make it
10 easier for him to prepare a schedule.

11 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Okay. Also at that meeting we
12 should probably find out the projects the villages
13 have on the drawing boards that aren't ready now
14 but will be ready very shortly.

15 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I agree.

16 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All right. Greg, next
17 item. Backflow.

18 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Sure. We may have to come
19 back to the newsletter about the rate increase
20 but we will talk about that later.

21 In the back of the
22 board packet there's a spreadsheet that shows
23 backflow testing status. As you will see,
24 for outdoor devices we have 88% tested as of last
25 week, 12% untested.

1 We have been red carding the customers that
2 have not tested their device and who are past
3 their date. This is the new extended date they
4 were given. They are the only ones being red
5 carded. The way the health department has
6 looked upon it in the past is if it's considered
7 a risk it must be handled like any other
8 year so in my mind anything that's a fire line
9 or a commercial line would be a risk. Is any
10 one on the Board opposed to shutting them off
11 instead of just continuing to red card if they
12 are considered a risk to the system? Potential
13 risk?

14 DIRECTOR KALNICK: So, we are talking about non
15 single-family or two-family homes, correct,
16 Greg?

17 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Correct, for the non-
18 residential single-family and two-family homes
19 better. So any non-residential, single-family
20 or two-family homes would be
21 excluded with indoor devices only,
22 not outdoor devices.

23 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Right. Correct.
24 Certainly.

25

1 DIRECTOR LEVY: What about commercial entities
2 with indoor devices?

3 MR. G. GRAZIANO: I'm recommending that
4 anything that's not a single-family or
5 two-family dwelling, whether it's indoor or
6 outdoor has to be tested.

7 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Because it's dangerous
8 to the system if you don't, correct?

9 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Because it could be
10 potentially dangerous, especially apartment
11 buildings.

12 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I think it's a non-issue.
13 You've got to protect the system.

14 MR. G. GRAZIANO: This would be all
15 municipalities; this would be all Great Neck
16 Park District, pools, apartment
17 houses.

18 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I agree.

19 DIRECTOR LEVY: I'm not sure I want to go
20 along with that. I think the indoor devices
21 have to be handled differently than outdoor
22 devices with the guidelines that we had all
23 along with indoor devices.

24 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Again, if there is a risk
25 to the system, whether it's indoors or outdoors,

1 I think we would need to be firm on that.

2 Is that the issue, Greg?

3 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Correct. You're talking
4 about readily accessible places. You don't have
5 to go into anyone's apartment...

6 DIRECTOR LEVY: You're going in the building.
7 You're going inside the building.

8 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes, but there are workmen
9 inside the building already.

10 DIRECTOR LEVY: No, in my village nobody is
11 in the village hall at all, period, just the
12 clerk. Nobody goes in, nobody goes out. She is
13 the only one in and out. That's my village
14 hall.

15 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Have you had your
16 backflow tested, Dan?

17 DIRECTOR LEVY: No. It's inside in the
18 basement. The basement has been locked. Nobody
19 has gone in there.

20 DIRECTOR FRANK: I wouldn't think it's
21 dangerous.

22 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: What if you let them in?

23 DIRECTOR LEVY: I don't want to let them in.

24 I don't know if there is a risk.

25 MR. R. GRAZIANO: They come in with mask and

1 gloves and booties.

2 DIRECTOR LEVY: I know they do. I've gone in
3 and out of hospitals multiple times.
4 I've seen the procedure before. I'm just saying
5 I don't think that that was the case before. I
6 mean the State guidelines we're following are
7 telling us that indoors does not have to be
8 tested.

9 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes. But now it's months
10 later and it's a risk to the system.

11 DIRECTOR LEVY: I don't find the risk the way
12 you see it. I see it differently, but it's
13 okay.

14 MR. G. GRAZIANO: There are a lot of delis and
15 restaurants and so forth that have been opened.
16 We don't know what they are connected to. They
17 are open and the devices are inside. Those are all
18 definitely high risk.

19 The governor just announced that bowling
20 alleys and even gyms can now open, so hopefully
21 we are on the back end of this whole thing. I
22 think it's just a question of if it's
23 inside. As long as it's not single-family or
24 two-family dwellings, we don't know who can be
25 living in there, who can have a compromised

1 system. Other than that, I think everything
2 else can be done safely.

3 MR. R. GRAZIANO: Greg, you're right. There
4 is a risk for all of us because if there is a
5 bathroom in the facility, you have a water main
6 break in the street, you shut it off and get
7 back siphonage, it's in the water main. This is
8 what the backflow is protecting, that's why it
9 needs to be tested.

10 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I feel strongly this has
11 to be tested and people have to just accommodate
12 it in any which way that's safe and if you don't
13 want to have someone in the Village Hall at the
14 same time as a worker then that day
15 the staff won't come in. I mean I think there
16 are ways to work around this, but I think we have
17 got to test this stuff. It has been months.

18 DIRECTOR LEVY: How is the person going to
19 get into the building if I'm not going to let
20 him in?

21 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: You are not going to let
22 him in. You are going to go downstairs. I mean
23 we've got to be realistic
24 with what has to be done, especially now when
25 the rates of the infection are so low. A couple of

1 months from now, if we hold off, it's going to
2 get worse potentially, then where are we going
3 to be? I think we have got to figure it out, I
4 think we have to go ahead with it.

5 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Do you want to take a vote
6 on it?

7 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: Let's take a vote.

8 I make a motion we approve the suggestion. [Res. #20-08-04]

9 DIRECTOR SMILEY: Seconded.

10 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?

11 (Whereupon all members responded in the
12 affirmative.)

13 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any opposed?

14 (No response.)

15 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: No opposition. Motion
16 carried.

17 Greg?

18 MR. G. GRAZIANO: For the next item

19 there is a spreadsheet that lays out the 1,4
20 Dioxane sampling results which is on the upper spread
21 sheet. The part of the spread sheet that is
22 in blue and white is the 1,4 and then
23 down below in the green and yellow is the PFOS.

24 So Bob, myself and the Chairperson had a
25 discussion about this last week. Whatever the

1 Board so chooses, I am going to give you what we
2 are looking at to start treatment for
3 now and obviously all this is going to lead into
4 the next discussion on the rate increase. The
5 more we do, the more money it's going to cost.
6 By a lot. You know, each well we are looking at is
7 potentially \$4 million per well for treatment.

8 So right now we are looking at potential
9 treatment for 1, 4 at Well 2A,
10 Well 9 and Well 11 A for 1,4 Dioxane.
11 Well 2A is the one that the Board just approved last
12 month for H2M to do that treatment project for,
13 and then Well 6 treatment which the bid results
14 came in which was awarded, and that's through
15 CDM that's going to start construction hopefully
16 in the next month or so.

17 That's it for now but there are other Wells
18 that we are going to keep an eye on: Community
19 Drive, Wells 12, 13 and 14, seem to be
20 relatively low at this point with the MCL being
21 one per part per billion; however, I want to put it
22 out there unless the Board chooses otherwise that
23 they want non detect across the board and start
24 treating everything, but this is what I
25 thought the starting point for 1, 4 Dioxane

1 should be.

2 We can't afford to treat everything.

3 DIRECTOR KREITZMAN: Greg, what are the
4 limits for PFOA and PFOS? I know that 1, 4 Dioxane
5 is one part per billion, but I didn't know what the
6 state limits were on the PFOA and PFOS.

7 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Ten parts per trillion.

8 So we have enough bonding money to do what we
9 are recommending but is there any questions or
10 any thought on 1, 4 Dioxane or is the Board okay
11 with the approach we are taking right now for
12 treatment for 1, 4 Dioxane?

13 MR. R. GRAZIANO: Greg, maybe you want to
14 mention to the Board so they get some comfort
15 level which states are
16 regulating the 1, 4 Dioxane?

17 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Okay. So the 1, 4 Dioxane
18 is not being regulated by any other state but
19 New York. That's simple --

20 DIRECTOR FRANK: I really thought that some
21 limits were set in other states but much higher.
22 I thought now Canada is at 50 parts per billion;
23 is that not correct?

24 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Canada, through the World
25 Health Organization, yes, is set at 50 and some

1 other states do have advisory levels, but New
 2 York State is actually the only state to set an
 3 MCL or Maximum Contaminate Level, an official
 4 Maximum Contaminate Level for 1, 4 Dioxane. Different
 5 for PFOS which we will get into next, but for 1,
 6 4 Dioxane, we are the only state in the entire
 7 country that set it.

8 DIRECTOR FRANK: That's crazy. I think that
 9 this is an advisable way to start and I think we
 10 are going to learn a lot in this process and I
 11 think it would be much better to go with the one
 12 that clearly is hitting the
 13 limit and go from there because we are going to
 14 learn as time moves along about, perhaps, more
 15 expeditious ways to proceed and who knows where
 16 this is going to go.

17 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Steve Limmer sent out an
 18 e-mail on July 30th with the governor's
 19 announcement on this and it does say EFC is
 20 providing grants for 60% of the cost of these
 21 critical projects. That's a lot.

22 MR. G. GRAZIANO: That's a lie. So that's
 23 just good press. Right now Sue
 24 has been working on a grant application for
 25 Wells 2A, 9 and 11A for next year. Speaking to

1 the EFC and the New York State Department of
 2 Health, there is no talk of grant money for next
 3 year at this time. Zero. And
 4 I had a conference call last week with the EFC
 5 about the Well 6 project which we put a grant
 6 application in for and we gave estimated project
 7 costs of \$5 million and they awarded us
 8 3 million which was 60%. Great, but then I go
 9 back to them and said, well, listen, the bids
 10 came in much higher than we expected. That
 11 portion of the project is going to be over
 12 \$7 million, can we now get 60% of that and they
 13 said oh, no. No, it's either \$3 million or 60%,
 14 whichever is less. So even the one we got grant
 15 money for they won't increase it based on now
 16 known actual bid numbers. They are holding us
 17 to our estimate that was done a year and a half
 18 ago before bids were done.

19 So to answer the question, no, and there may
 20 not even be any grant -- there may be, but as of
 21 right now, nobody has even heard any talk of
 22 presenting any webinars or anything on grant
 23 money for next year.

24 DIRECTOR LEVY: What happens if we just don't
 25 have money? You can't expect to get the mayors

1 to pay \$60,000 a year to fix the thing. You know,
2 there is a limit to what you're going to do.

3 That's what we are selecting.

4 This is similar to the green deal. I wish we
5 had no pollution. I wish we were all driving
6 bicycles. I wish we didn't need to take planes.
7 I wish a lot of things. But if we don't have
8 money to do it, I think it's ridiculous. If
9 they are not going to put their money where
10 their mouth is and give us a measly \$3 million
11 and we need to spend \$16 million, where are we
12 going to get that?

13 DIRECTOR LOPATKIN: I mean, I agree, this is
14 nothing more than another unfunded mandate.
15 This is very, very high levels. This is not
16 just like --

17 DIRECTOR LEVY: I think if anybody comes up,
18 we make a big deal about it in the press and
19 that's it. That's bullshit. We can't bankrupt
20 the system because they decided some schmuck
21 fell asleep and decided that two parts is too
22 much and 5 million is enormous and one million
23 is fine. There was never a study about it,
24 never anything about it. We have more stuff
25 like this laying in the house already. It's a bunch

1 of horse manure. We are all dancing around
2 these mandates.

3 MR. G. GRAZIANO: Should this be in Executive
4 Session because we are in a lawsuit about this
5 and this is all being recorded?

6 DIRECTOR LEVY: Sure.

7 MR. LIMMER: We are going into Executive Session for
8 advice of counsel with regard to the treatment
9 requirements and also with regard to possible
10 litigation with Lockheed Martin.

11 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to go into Executive Session?

12 DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move. [Res. #20-08-05]

13 (Whereupon the hearing entered into Executive
14 Session from 6:35 P.M. until 7:30 P.M. at which
15 time the following took place:)

16 MR. LIMMER: Note for the record that
17 Executive Session is closed, action was taken at
18 the Executive Session, minutes were taken and
19 will be on file with the Secretary of the Water
20 Authority but such minutes are confidential and
21 will not be subject to the Freedom of
22 Information Law.

23 (Whereupon a discussion was held off the

1 record.)

2 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to approve a Public Hearing
3 on a rate increase as discussed for October 19th
4 at 6 o'clock at the Kings Point
5 Village Hall. If we change it or we go virtual,
6 we will put a sign up. [Res. #20-08-07]

7 MR. LIMMER: So, it will be at the Village
8 Hall, 32 Steppingstone Lane, Kings Point, New
9 York.

10 We could always change it if necessary.

11 DIRECTOR FRANK: Second it.

12 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
13 (Whereupon all members responded in the
14 affirmative.)

15 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any opposed?
16 (No response.)

17 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to adjourn.
18 [Res. #20-08-08]

19 DIRECTOR LEVY: Motion.

20 DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.

21 CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
22 (Whereupon all members responded in the
23 affirmative.)

24

25 (Time noted: 7:40 P.M.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25